			1
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
2	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERIO	C Δ)	
4	Plaintiff,		
5	1 1011)	
6	-VS-)	Case No. 18 CR 105
7	ADAM SPRENGER,)	Chicago, Illinois February 15, 2019
8	Defendant.		
9	por ondance.		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JOHN J. THARP, JR.		
11	APPEARANCES:		
12	For the Plaintiff: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE		
13		BY: MS. K	ELLY MATTEA GREENING
14		Suite 500 Chicago, II	
15	For the Defendant:		BRANDSTRADER, ATTORNEY AT LAW
16			HOMAS C. BRANDSTRADER son Boulevard
17		Suite 618 Chicago, II	_ 60604
18		LAW OFFICE	OF ANDREW GABLE
19		53 W. Jacks	NDREW S. GABLE son Boulevard
20		Suite 863 Chicago, II	_ 60604
21			
22	Court Reporter:	Official Co	ITZGERALD, CSR, RMR, CRR ourt Reporter
23		219 South I	tes District Court Dearborn Street, Room 1420
24		Telephone:	llinois 60604 (312) 818-6626
25		kmftranscr	ipts@gmail.com
	II		

(Proceedings heard in open court:)

THE CLERK: U.S.A. v. Sprenger, 18 CR 105.

MS. GREENING: Good morning, Your Honor. Kelly Greening on behalf of the United States.

MR. BRANDSTRADER: Good morning, Judge. Thomas

Brandstrader, B-r-a-n-d-s-t-r-a-d-e-r, for Mr. Sprenger who is
to my left.

THE COURT: Good morning.

Good morning, Mr. Sprenger.

THE DEFENDANT: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We're here for a change of plea hearing.

Ready to go forward?

MR. BRANDSTRADER: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Sprenger, we're going to go through a process here this morning that is required in order for me to make certain determinations that I must make in order to accept a plea of guilty to any of the charges that you're facing in this case. In order to go through this process, I'm going to have to ask you quite a few questions here this morning. It's important that you understand the questions and you answer the questions truthfully and accurately because I need your truthful and accurate answers in order to make the determinations that I need to make as to whether I can accept a plea of guilty.

1 You also need to answer these questions truthfully 2 and accurately because you're going to be put under oath, and it can be a crime to answer or provide false information in 3 response to my questions. So you don't want to do that. 4 5 And, third, it's important to understand that the answers that you give me to these questions will be used 6 7 against you because this process, if I end up accepting your 8 plea of quilty, will result in my entry of an order finding 9 you guilty of the crime based on this hearing today. So when I ask you these questions, if you need 10 11 clarification, you don't understand something, you need to 12 speak up, let your lawyer know. If you want to just -- if you 13 understand the question but you think you need to talk to your 14 lawyer before responding, just let him know that, and I'll 15 give you the opportunity to do that. All right? 16 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. 18 Ms. Rone, would you please swear in Mr. Sprenger. 19 (Defendant sworn.) 20 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sprenger, what's your 21 full name? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Adam Westin Sprenger. 23 THE COURT: All right. And how old are you, sir? 24 THE DEFENDANT: 37. 25 THE COURT: And before you were taken into custody,

```
what city did you live in?
 1
 2
             THE DEFENDANT: Arlington Heights.
 3
             THE COURT: Have you lived in the Chicago
    metropolitan area for quite some time?
 4
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
 5
 6
             THE COURT: Are you married?
 7
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No.
 8
             THE COURT: Do you have children?
 9
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No.
10
             THE COURT: What's the highest level of formal
11
    education you have completed?
12
             THE DEFENDANT: Graduated high school.
             THE COURT: Okay. And so I take it you're able to
13
14
    read and write English?
15
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
16
             THE COURT: All right. Before you were taken into
    custody, were you employed?
17
18
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
19
             THE COURT: Doing what kind of work?
20
             THE DEFENDANT: I was an Uber driver, and I was
21
    working at a restaurant.
22
             THE COURT: Were you food preparation, server?
23
             THE DEFENDANT: Server.
24
             THE COURT: Do you feel like you're in good physical
25
    health?
```

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Are you presently taking any prescription 3 medications of any sort? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: What sort of medications? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Gabapentin for nerves and Prozac. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. And are those being prescribed by 8 a mental health professional? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: All right. And you're periodically 11 consulting with that professional, I take it? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Do the medicines that have been 13 14 prescribed for you interfere in any way with your ability to 15 think clearly? 16 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 17 THE COURT: Do they affect your judgment in any way? 18 THE DEFENDANT: No. sir. 19 THE COURT: All right. Do you feel that they prevent 20 you or that you should not make very important decisions while 21 you're taking that medication? 22 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: In fact, do you find that medication is 24 actually beneficial to your ability to function normally? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Other than the mental health medications, 1 2 are you on any other prescription medications? 3 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: Other than the mental health medications, 4 5 have you had any other drugs or alcohol in the last 24 hours? 6 THE DEFENDANT: No. 7 THE COURT: Is there any reason that you don't feel 8 capable mentally or physically this morning from going forward 9 with this hearing? 10 No, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 11 THE COURT: All right. 12 Mr. Brandstrader, do you have any reason to doubt 13 Mr. Sprenger's competence to enter a plea of guilty? 14 MR. BRANDSTRADER: No objection. 15 THE COURT: Ms. Greening? 16 MS. GREENING: No, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: All right. I do find that Mr. Sprenger 18 is competent to enter a plea of guilty based not only on the 19 substance of his responses to the Court's questions but also 20 his demeanor and attentiveness here in court. It's clear to 21 the Court that he understands the questions and the nature of 22 the proceeding and is responding appropriately. 23 Mr. Sprenger, who is the attorney who has been 24 representing you in this case? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Mr. Brandstrader.

THE COURT: All right. Have you had enough time to 1 2 talk to Mr. Brandstrader about this case generally? 3 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Have you had enough time to talk with him 4 5 more specifically about what we're doing here this morning? 6 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Pleading guilty to some of these charges? 8 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Are you satisfied with the advice and 10 counsel that Mr. Brandstrader has given you in the course of 11 his representation? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Is there anything you think he should 14 have done for you as your attorney that he has not done for 15 you? 16 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. 17 18 talked to you about what it means to plead guilty and the

THE COURT: All right. I'm sure Mr. Brandstrader has talked to you about what it means to plead guilty and the rights that you will be giving up by pleading guilty, but we need to make this a matter of record to be sure that you understand that going through this process, if I accept your guilty plea, you will have surrendered and waived very important significant rights that you would otherwise have. And everything I'm going to talk to you about starts with the fundamental proposition that even though you're in custody

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

now, even though you're facing criminal charges, under the law, you are presumed to be not guilty of those charges. What that means is you have no burden to prove that you are not guilty. The law presumes that you are not guilty, and it places the burden of proof on the government. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: So the government must prove that you are guilty, and they must do that by presenting evidence that is sufficient to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. That's what the government's burden is. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. And the way that the -- that we would go about assessing whether the government's evidence would prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt is we would have a trial, a jury trial in all likelihood. You have an absolute right to have a trial by jury. Do you understand a jury is just a group of citizens who have been called to listen to the evidence and the instructions of law that apply in a case and decide, make that decision of whether the evidence proves you guilty of an offense beyond a reasonable doubt? That's what a jury is. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, if we had a trial, it would

be in a public courtroom, probably this one since this case is assigned to me. You have the right to counsel throughout the trial, and that public trial, the government can't take you and haul you off somewhere and try you in secret. It would take place in a public courtroom where friends, family, media, anybody else who was interested in the case would have the opportunity to observe the proceedings. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, during -- the trial would begin with the selection of the jury, and you and your attorney would play a very big role in determining who gets to sit on that jury for several reasons. We would call a group of people in, probably 40 people, maybe more than that, in as possible jurors, and we would question those folks and get information from them in order to determine whether they could be fair and impartial jurors in this particular case. Your lawyer would get to have a very big part in that because he would submit questions to the Court that we would ask these people to determine what kind of information we were going to get from them. In some cases he may even be able to question jurors directly himself.

Based on the information that we get from those jurors, if you and your attorney thought someone on the basis of the information we have about them would not be a fair and

impartial juror, Mr. Brandstrader could make what are called challenges for cause, which are just objections that say, Judge, this person isn't going to be fair and impartial; they should not be permitted to sit as a juror in this case. And if I agreed with those objections, I would strike that individual, and they would not be permitted to be part of the jury. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The other thing to understand about challenges for cause is there's no limit to the number of challenges for cause that you and your attorney could make. If we brought 40 people in and Mr. Brandstrader thought that half of them weren't going to be fair and impartial, he could make, you know, 20 challenges for cause. He could make 40 if he thought no one would be fair and impartial. The odds are I wouldn't agree with all of those challenges, but if I did, we would have to bring more people in until we got a jury consisting of 12 people who could be fair and impartial jurors. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, there's another kind of challenge that you would be able to make in the course of jury selection, and that's called a peremptory challenge. And a peremptory challenge is even more powerful than a challenge for cause because you can exercise a peremptory challenge to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

strike people from the jury pool for almost any reason at all. The only reason you can't use a peremptory challenge to strike someone is if you're doing so on an unconstitutional basis, meaning you can't strike someone with a peremptory challenge because of their race or their religion or their gender or their national origin, for example. There's probably several other categories, but apart from those unconstitutional reasons, you can use a peremptory challenge to strike someone for any other reason at all. You just think somebody has got a bad attitude based on their demeanor or their responses, they don't want to be here, they don't want to give up a week or more of their life to sit on the jury in this case, or you think there's something going on in their life, they're distracted, their spouse is in the hospital or something and they're not going to pay attention, you think they're too dumb, you think they're too smart, too rich, too poor, anything other than those unconstitutional reasons, you can use a peremptory challenge to strike someone. understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Because they're so powerful, you don't get -- unlike challenges for cause, you don't get an unlimited number of peremptory challenges, but you would have at least ten to exercise in picking the jury. So if we had 40 people in here and you could strike ten of them for almost any

reason at all, that would wipe out 25 percent of the jury pool right there, and I would have essentially nothing to say about that. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So between peremptory challenges and challenges for cause and participating in the questioning of the jurors, that's why I say you and Mr. Brandstrader would play a very big role in ultimately deciding who gets to sit on the jury. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Once we pick the jury, then it would be the government's -- that would be the point the government has to present its evidence. They would do that by calling witnesses, by presenting tangible items of evidence if there were documents. This case may involve photographs, videos, things like that. The government has the right to present that evidence. What is important for you to understand is your attorney has the right to challenge the introduction of any of that evidence if there's some legal basis to say the jury shouldn't be permitted to consider that evidence. And I would listen to those challenges, and I would rule on those challenges. Do you understand you have the right to challenge the government's introduction of evidence?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. The other way you could

challenge the government's evidence is through cross-examination of the witnesses that the government calls. Any witness the government calls and questions during the trial your attorney has the right to cross-examine. It just means to ask questions himself of those witnesses. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, once the government presented its evidence, as the defendant in the case, you have the right, but not the obligation, to present any evidence that you think might help convince the jury that you're not guilty. You don't have to present evidence because as I told you at the outset, you don't have a burden of proof. The government has the burden of proof. But if you have evidence that you think would help convince the jury that you're not guilty, you have the right to present that evidence under the same rules and procedures that the government presents its evidence. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. There's another kind of evidence that you can -- you have the right to present if you wish, and that's your own testimony. During the trial, you would have the right to testify in your own defense if you chose to do so. You would also have the right not to testify if that was your decision. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, a couple of things to understand about that.

First, the decision about whether to testify or not testify in the case is a decision that no one can make for you. That is your decision to make. Mr. Brandstrader is an experienced attorney, and he would give you the benefit of his experience and his counsel about whether he thought it was wise for you to testify in the case or not. But at the end of the day, if you disagree with him, it's your decision to make, not his. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, in making that decision, if you decided at the -- that you wanted to testify, the jury would be instructed that they should consider your testimony just like the testimony of any other witness in the trial, and you would be subject to cross-examination just like any other witness at trial. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. On the other hand, if you decided not to testify, the jury would be instructed that they can't hold that decision against you or use that decision against you in any way, meaning they can't think to themselves or say to each other you know what? If Mr. Sprenger is not guilty of these charges, he would have taken the witness stand, taken an

oath, and he would have told us he was not guilty. They can't do that. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And they will be told they can't do that. In fact, they will be told and instructed as a matter of law they can't even discuss the fact that the defendant chose not to testify if that was your decision. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. The other thing I want to make sure you understand about the evidence in the case is both sides, the government and the defense, have the right to issue what are called trial subpoenas. And those are just court orders that if you thought, for example, that there was some witness out there who could testify and would be of benefit to your defense but they weren't willing to come in and testify voluntarily, Mr. Brandstrader could issue a trial subpoena which I would enforce that would require that individual to come in and testify or require an individual to come in and produce physical evidence that they might have in their possession. Do you understand you have the right to issue trial subpoenas?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, once all of the evidence in the case had been presented, the lawyers would make their closing arguments, and then it would be time for the jury to

deliberate, meaning they would be instructed on the law that applies to the charges in the case. They would go back to the jury room, and they would consider the evidence that was presented at trial and make the determination of whether that evidence was sufficient to prove you guilty of any of the charges beyond a reasonable doubt. And the important thing to understand about the jury and its deliberations is in order to come back into the courtroom and say we have a verdict and we find Mr. Sprenger guilty of any charge, the jury would have to unanimously agree that the evidence was sufficient to prove you guilty of that charge beyond a reasonable doubt. So if even one juror was not convinced beyond a reasonable doubt, the jury could not bring a verdict back against you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: There are no 7-5 or 11-1 verdicts in criminal cases in federal court. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, let's say for the sake of discussion that we had a jury trial and the jury came back with a verdict of guilty on one or more of the charges that you're facing. At that point, even at that point, your rights would not be over because you have the right to appeal the jury's verdict. You have the right to counsel to help you with that appeal, which means that you have the right to make arguments to the

Court of Appeals that something improper happened during the trial, that you didn't get a fair trial, or maybe that even just that the evidence wasn't sufficient to prove you guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.

You have the right to a lawyer to help you make those arguments, and if the Court of Appeals agrees that you didn't get a fair trial, they might order another trial to be held. You go back to square one. If there were certain mistakes or errors made during the course of a trial, they might even decide the indictment has to be dismissed; this man can't be retried, and he must be acquitted. That doesn't happen very often, but that's possible relief that you can get on an appeal. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You understand when we conclude this process this morning, if I accept your guilty plea, none of that is going to happen. You understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And because we're not going to have a trial, there's not going to be any trial errors to appeal from. So in pleading guilty, you're limiting the scope of any of appeal that you might be able to make at the conclusion of this case. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: The only thing you would be able to

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

appeal from this case would be what we're doing now, the process we're going through now, and whatever sentence might get imposed ultimately in the case. Do you understand that?

THE COURT: Okay. Now, what I've just described to you is a jury trial. There's another kind of trial I have to tell you about, and that's called a bench trial. And a bench trial operates with one major exception just like a jury trial, and that exception is in a bench trial, we don't pick a jury. We don't have a group of 12 people listening to the evidence and making the determination about the adequacy of the evidence. In a bench trial, the judge that presides over the case does that.

You don't have a right to a bench trial; you have the right to ask for a bench trial if that's how you wanted to resolve the charges against you. And if you asked for a bench trial, the government -- the government has to agree to a bench trial. They could object. The judge also has to agree. But if all of those parties were in agreement, then there could be a bench trial, and the charges could be resolved in that manner. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. All right.

Do we have the plea agreement?

MS. GREENING: Yes, Your Honor.

```
THE COURT: Could you give that to Mr. Brandstrader?
 1
 2
             MS. GREENING:
                            Yes.
 3
             THE COURT: Mr. Brandstrader, would you show
 4
    Mr. Sprenger the last page of that plea agreement.
 5
             All right. Mr. Sprenger, is that your signature
    above your typewritten name?
 6
 7
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
             THE COURT: All right. Now, before you signed that
 9
    plea agreement, did you have an adequate opportunity to review
10
    it?
11
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
12
             THE COURT: Did you have enough time to discuss it
13
    with your lawyer?
14
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
15
             THE COURT: Have any questions about that plea
16
    agreement answered by your attorney?
17
             THE DEFENDANT: Ask that --
18
             THE COURT: Did you have enough time to have any
19
    questions you had answered?
20
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
21
             THE COURT: Do you have any lingering questions about
22
    what's in that plea agreement or anything that it provides?
23
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             No, Your Honor.
24
             THE COURT: All right. Did you sign that plea
25
    agreement voluntarily?
```

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Did anyone force you to sign that plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: No. Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, you understand in that plea agreement that you are making an agreement, really a contract with the government, and part of your performance of that contract is to plead guilty to Count One and -- or excuse me --

MS. GREENING: Counts One and Four, Your Honor.

THE COURT: One and Four.

MS. GREENING: And there's also a stipulated offense, which is Count Two.

THE COURT: Okay.

So you are agreeing to plead guilty to Count One and Count Four of the indictment. And you've admitted facts that are the basis for the charge that is reflected in Count Two of the indictment, but you're not technically pleading guilty to that count. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And do you understand that, again, if we get to the end of this process and I accept your plea of guilty and I find you guilty of the charge that you could be sentenced to -- up to the maximum penalties that are provided by law for convictions on the charge set forth in

Count One and the charge set forth in Count Four? Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. I want to make sure you understand what those maximum penalties are.

Count One, which charges a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2251A, which says that you knowingly employed and used a minor to engage in sexually explicit conduct for the purpose of producing a visual depiction of such conduct, a conviction on that crime carries a maximum sentence of 30 years of imprisonment and a mandatory minimum sentence of 15 years of imprisonment. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. In addition to a term of imprisonment between 15 and 30 years, there's also a maximum fine that can be imposed in addition to the term of imprisonment of up to \$250,000. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: In addition to the term of imprisonment and a fine, there's also a term of what's called supervised release that could be imposed after your term of imprisonment concludes. And that term of supervised release has to be at least five years and could be for the rest of your life. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And on top of all of that, I have to impose what's called a special assessment of \$100 on each count of conviction. So on top of all of the other stuff on Count One, there would be that special assessment. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, with respect to Count Four of the indictment, which charges you with a violation of Title 18 of the United States Code, Section 2252A(a)(5)(B), which the essence of which is the knowing possession of material that contained images of child pornography, including images that involved prepubescent minors and minors who had not reached the age of 12 years, the penalties that could be imposed for a conviction under that count include a term of imprisonment of up to 20 years, a maximum fine of up to \$250,000, a period of supervised release of at least five years and up to life, and, again, a special assessment of \$100. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Ms. Greening, any questions about the maximum penalties as I've recited them of which I'm relying on the plea agreement?

MS. GREENING: No, Your Honor. Just paragraph 7, subparagraph f outlines a statute that's specific to this particular type of crime, which is Title 18, United States

- Code, Section 3014 indicating that the defendant would be assessed an additional \$5,000 per count of conviction if the Court determines at sentencing that he is a nonindigent person.

 THE COURT: Okay. So that would be part of the
- THE COURT: Okay. So that would be part of the maximum penalties as well, this fine of \$5,000. Is that just on Count One?
 - MS. GREENING: It's each count, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Each count. So there would be at least a \$10,000 fine that could be imposed if I conclude that you're not indigent. Do you understand that?
- 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: And also you should be aware, on top of everything that I've just described to you, that it's mandatory that restitution be awarded in this case in some amount. Do you understand that?
 - THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
 - THE COURT: All right. Now, I gave you the maximum penalties that could be imposed on each count of conviction. I gave you those penalties separately. But do you understand that because you're pleading guilty to both counts, those penalties can be aggregated and for the maximum penalty are aggregated so that the maximum penalty that you can ultimately face in this case is a sentence of up to 50 years' imprisonment, a minimum sentence of 15 years, a maximum fine

of up to \$500,000, a period of supervised release of at least five years and special assessments totaling \$200 in addition to the restitution and the \$10,000 fine that we just talked about. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, those are the maximum penalties. That doesn't mean those are the penalties that will be imposed. We don't know what -- the penalties that will be imposed, what the sentence in this case will be at this point because I don't have the information necessary to make the judgment about the appropriate sentence at this point. Do you understand there's not going to be a sentence imposed today?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. We have to go through a process to get me the information that I need in order to determine the appropriate sentence. The way we do that is through the preparation of what's called a presentence investigation report. That's a report that's compiled by the probation office that gives me information about you, your background, your education, your health, your financial situation, your childhood, your employment history, any criminal history, things like that. It also gives me information about the offenses that you've pled guilty to, and it also provides a preliminary calculation of the advisory sentencing guideline

range that is applicable in this case.

Have you had a chance to talk with Mr. Brandstrader generally about the sentencing guidelines and the role that they play in sentencing?

THE DEFENDANT: I have, Your Honor.

THE COURT: A couple of things I want to make sure that you understand about the guidelines.

The guidelines, I am required to calculate the advisory sentence under the guidelines, and I am required to make that calculation and to consider that calculation as a factor in deciding the sentence to impose. But I am not required to impose the sentence that is suggested by the guidelines. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I may decide -- the guidelines, in other words, are one factor among many factors that I'm required to consider in assessing the appropriate sentence. I might decide the guideline range is just right. I might decide, for whatever reason, that it's too high. I might also decide it's too low. And so at this point, you could -- you know, I have the discretion to sentence you to anything up to those maximum penalties that I've described. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, we don't know what the advisory sentencing guideline is going to be yet because I

need to get that presentence investigation report. Your lawyer and the government need the opportunity to review that report and comment on it, and then I have to review it and consider their comments and ultimately make the decision about what the advisory guideline range is. But as it stands right now, the government and Mr. Brandstrader have some idea of what they think the guideline range will be. And so it's important I think to consider and understand before you plead guilty what that range may be because I am required to take the range into account.

So, Ms. Greening, what is the government's preliminary view as to what the guideline calculation in this case will yield?

MS. GREENING: Your Honor, the anticipated total offense level is 43, which when combined with the anticipated criminal history category of I results in a sentencing guidelines range of life imprisonment. But because the statutorily authorized maximum sentence is 50 years under guideline 5G1.1(a), the guideline sentence would be 50 years' imprisonment.

THE COURT: All right.

And, Mr. Brandstrader, are there issues that would affect the guideline calculation that you believe at this point will be in dispute?

MR. BRANDSTRADER: Yes, Judge, there will be one or

two enhancements. Obviously we have to wait to see how the probation department looks at it before we file anything. But I understand the preliminary calculations, Judge, and I'm not going to lodge an objection today, but we're not in total agreement with them.

THE COURT: Okay.

So, Mr. Sprenger, there may be some disputes about the calculation of the guideline range. Those won't get resolved ultimately until the sentencing hearing in this case that takes place a number of months down the road. But at this point, the government thinks the sentence is going to be -- the guideline range sentence is going to be 50 years in prison. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If that's the guideline range that I determine, I have to take that into account, but, again, that's only advisory. It's not binding on the Court. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, the sentence that is imposed in this case is obviously the most significant consequence to being convicted of these charges. It's not the only consequence, however, and I want to make sure that you understand that.

Under the law, the law places various restrictions on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

people who have been convicted of felonies apart from, you know, whatever sentence is imposed in their case. examples are folks who have been convicted of felony offenses lose their right to possess a firearm, may not be able to vote, can't sit on a federal jury. Those are common examples. There are many, many restrictions like that that are imposed by the federal government, by state governments, by city governments. There's way too many restrictions like that for me to tell you about all of them. But if you have concerns about those kinds of restrictions, another one that you should be cognizant of and be aware of is there are -- particularly for folks who have been convicted of crimes of a sexual nature that there are many restrictions that are placed on the civil liberties of people who have those kinds of convictions even after they've served any sentence that was imposed in the If you have any concerns about those kinds of consequences, those need to be addressed and thought about and considered before you enter a plea of guilty because once you've entered a plea of guilty and I find you guilty and we get to sentencing or after sentencing or sometime down the road and you say, I didn't realize that I wasn't going to be able to do this, or I was going to have to do this as a result of these convictions, had I known that, I wouldn't have pled It's going to be too late to go back and undo that guilty. plea. You understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: So if you have any questions along that 3 front, you need to research them and discuss them with your 4 attorney before pleading guilty. Do you understand that? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. 6 7 We have no immigration consequences? 8 MR. BRANDSTRADER: No, Judge. 9 THE COURT: All right. 10 Now, one of the determinations I have to make, as I 11 mentioned at the outset, Mr. Sprenger, is I have to make -- in 12 order to accept your plea of guilty, I have to make a 13 determination that you are, in fact, guilty of the crimes that 14 you wish to plead guilty to. You can't just plead guilty to a 15 crime in order to get a better deal. In order to plead guilty 16 and have your plea accepted, I have to make a determination 17 that you are, in fact, guilty of those crimes. 18 Mr. Brandstrader, would you show Mr. Sprenger -- it 19 starts on page 2? 20 MS. GREENING: Yes. 21 THE COURT: On page 2 of the plea agreement, you see 22 the heading there that says about halfway down the page 23 "factual basis," Mr. Sprenger? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right. And that factual basis

1 continues for several pages, all the way through about halfway 2 down the page on page 8. Are you with me? 3 MR. BRANDSTRADER: Yes, Judge. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Now, do you understand, again, in 5 this plea agreement that you've entered into with the 6 government that that factual basis is essentially a written 7 confession of why you're guilty of the charge in Count One, 8 why you're quilty of the charge in Count Four, and also 9 confesses to committing other conduct that will be considered 10 at sentencing in this case? Do you understand that's the 11 nature of what that factual basis is? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: All right. And understanding that's 14 essentially a written confession to conduct that will support 15 the convictions in this case, are you completely satisfied 16 that everything that is set forth in that factual stipulation 17 in the plea agreement is completely 100 percent accurate? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 19 THE COURT: Before you signed the plea agreement, did 20 you have the opportunity to go through that factual basis very 21 carefully? 22 I did, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT: 23 THE COURT: And did you go through it very carefully? 24 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Along the way, before final izing the

25

1 plea agreement, did you have the opportunity to make changes to that factual basis to correct anything that you thought was 2 3 not perfectly accurate? 4 THE DEFENDANT: I didn't need to. 5 THE COURT: All right. But you had that opportunity? 6 THE DEFENDANT: I did, yes. 7 THE COURT: And you found from the get-go that it was 8 completely accurate? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 10 THE COURT: All right. So you have no guibbles at 11 all with the factual statements that are made in that factual 12 basis? 13 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 Ms. Greening, I'm going to ask the government to 16 summarize, and that being the operative word in view of both 17 the length and specific nature of the statements that are 18 conceded and made by the defendant in the factual basis, I 19 would ask the government to provide a brief summary of what 20 its evidence at trial would consist of and show if this case 21 were to go to trial. 22 Mr. Sprenger, I want you to listen carefully to 23 Ms. Greening because when she's finished, I'm going to ask you 24 if anything she's told me you disagree with.

Okay.

25

THE DEFENDANT:

THE COURT: Ms. Greening.

MS. GREENING: With respect to Count One, the government's evidence at trial would show that on or about March 21, 2015, Mr. Sprenger traveled from Illinois to a hotel in Wisconsin with individual A and her four minor children who included victim A. Victim A was 14 years old at the time.

The evidence would show that in that hotel room in Wisconsin, on or about March 22nd of 2015, Mr. Sprenger used a Samsung Galaxy cellular phone to take at least seven sexually explicit photographs of victim A while she was sleeping. The photographs included sexually explicit conduct.

THE COURT: And just to be clear, the sexually explicit conduct was not of victim A but was of Mr. Sprenger, correct?

MS. GREENING: That's correct, Your Honor.

Mr. Sprenger, individual A, victim A and the other minor children stayed at that hotel until the next day, March 23rd of 2015, at which time they returned to Illinois. He brought -- Mr. Sprenger brought with him back to Illinois that Samsung Galaxy cellular phone that contained the sexually explicit images. He then stored those images to a USB drive which he kept in his residence in Illinois.

Turning to Count Four, the evidence at trial would show that Mr. Sprenger possessed approximately 64 images of child pornography on a USB drive which was manufactured

outside of the United States; 66 images and 387 videos of child pornography on a micro SD card which was manufactured also outside of the United States, in the Philippines; 64 images of child pornography on an HP laptop computer which was manufactured in China; and a second HP laptop computer. The images and videos of child pornography that Mr. Sprenger possessed on these devices included images and videos of children who were as young as toddlers and included sadomasochistic conduct. Each of these items were found in Mr. Sprenger's home.

Turning to the facts that support Count Two, the evidence at trial would show that on or about April 7th of 2017, Mr. Sprenger took four videos of victim B while victim B was sleeping in the state of Illinois. Victim B was 13 years old at the time. The videos included sexually explicit conduct. Mr. Sprenger then stored those four videos onto a micro SB card which was manufactured in the Philippines.

On or about November 14th of 2017, Mr. Sprenger communicated with an individual who, unbeknownst to Mr. Sprenger, was an undercover law enforcement officer over an application called Kik Messenger. During that communication, Mr. Sprenger and the UC, or the undercover law enforcement officer, had a discussion about sexually explicit conduct that Mr. Sprenger had engaged in, and Mr. Sprenger then sent to the UC a video over Kik Messenger, specifically

1 video 4, which is the subject of Count Two. THE COURT: All right. 2 Mr. Sprenger, anything that Ms. Greening has told me 3 4 that you take issue with? 5 THE DEFENDANT: No, sir. THE COURT: All right. 6 7 On the basis of Mr. Sprenger's responses to my 8 questions, the very detailed factual stipulation that is 9 included in the plea agreement and the government's proffer of 10 what its evidence at trial would show, I do find there is a 11 factual basis to support pleas of guilty to Count One and 12 Count Four of the indictment in this case. 13 All right. The last thing we have to cover, 14 Mr. Sprenger, is I've asked you some of these questions in 15 relation to the plea agreement itself, but now I'm asking more 16 generally with respect to your decision to plead guilty. 17 Do you feel that anyone has forced you in any way to 18 plead guilty to any of these charges? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: All right. Has anyone threatened you in 21 any way to -- threatened you or anyone you care about in any 22 way in order to coerce you in some fashion into pleading 23 guilty? 24 THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone promised you anything -- well,

25

let me back up.

As I've already said, this plea agreement is a contract between you and the government. And it has agreements the government has made; it has agreements that you have made. Putting any promises or agreements that are included in the plea agreement to one side, has anyone promised you anything else in order to induce you to plead guilty to any of these charges?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Has anyone promised you what your sentence will be in this case?

THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. And you understand for the reasons we've already talked about no one could possibly make that promise to you because I'm going to be sentencing you, and I don't have any idea what your sentence will be at this point. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. You understand that the final decision as to what your sentence will be rests with me, and that I may sentence you to a longer period or a shorter period than you may expect?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Are you entering these pleas voluntarily?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: Are you entering them because you have decided that it's the best course and the appropriate course 3 4 for you to take? 5 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 6 THE COURT: All right. The next question then I'm 7 going to ask you, Mr. Sprenger, is how you wish to plead to 8 Count One of the indictment and how you wish to plead to 9 Count Four of the indictment. If you tell me that you to wish 10 to plead guilty to one or both of those charges, I'm going to 11 accept that plea of guilty, and I'm going to find you guilty 12 of those charges, and that's the point of no return. You will 13 have crossed the Rubicon. It's too late to turn back at that 14 point. Do you understand that? 15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 Then, Mr. Sprenger, how do you wish to plead to 18 Count One of the indictment in this case? 19 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: And how do you wish to plead to 21 Count Four of the indictment in this case? 22 THE DEFENDANT: Guilty, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. 24 Since you acknowledge that you are, in fact, guilty 25 as charged in Count One and Count Four of the indictment in

this case, you've had the assistance of counsel, you've been advised of your trial rights, we've talked about the maximum possible punishment and the sentencing process that has to take place, and you've acknowledged that you are freely and voluntarily pleading guilty, I will accept your pleas of guilty and enter a finding of guilty on your plea as to Count One and Count Four of the indictment in this case.

All right. So you have now been found guilty of those charges, Mr. Sprenger. As I said, the next step in the process is the preparation of that presentence investigation report. Mr. Brandstrader will continue to represent you and advise you in connection with that process and at sentencing. It's important for you to cooperate with that process going forward.

Mr. Brandstrader, you need to contact probation promptly to advise them of the entry of the guilty plea.

Ms. Greening, I'll ask that the government's version of the offense be submitted to probation within 14 days.

Any defendant's version that the defendant wishes to present should be presented within -- submitted to probation within seven days after the government's version.

We will set sentencing for about four months down the road.

THE CLERK: June 18th.

THE COURT: Is that date convenient?

THE CLERK: 2:00 p.m. 1 2 MS. GREENING: Your Honor, I apologize. I'm going to 3 be on trial that week. Is there any way to move it to the week before or after? 4 5 THE COURT: The week after, Alberta. Am I here? THE CLERK: I'm thinking that you're not. Hold on. 6 7 MR. BRANDSTRADER: Before I start, Judge, my son is 8 getting married in Colorado the next week. I don't think I'm 9 going to be available. Sorry. 10 THE COURT: All right. You're out, Mr. Brandstrader, 11 the week of the 24th? 12 MR. BRANDSTRADER: Yes, Judge. 13 THE COURT: And, Ms. Greening, you're out the week of 14 the 17th? 15 MS. GREENING: Yes, Your Honor. 16 THE COURT: All right. Then let's go -- then we run 17 into the 4th of July. Is it going to interfere with anyone's 18 travel -- let's just do -- why don't we go to, say, July 10th, 19 2:00 p.m. Does that work? 20 MS. GREENING: Yes, Your Honor. 21 MR. BRANDSTRADER: Yes, Your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Sentencing set for July 10th at 2:00 p.m. 23 Sentencing memoranda are due 14 days before that date. Any

response or objection to the other side's submission are due

seven days prior to that date. The sentencing order that

24

25

comes out today will direct the probation office to provide counsel with the -- with probation's sentencing recommendation at the same time probation provides that recommendation to the Court.

Please read the order that comes out today carefully because it prescribes what content is required to be included in the sentencing memoranda and provides other significant information to counsel.

Anything else we need to address?

MS. GREENING: For the record, Your Honor, would you like me to pass up the signed copy?

THE COURT: Yeah. If you would hand that to my courtroom deputy, we'll get that on the docket.

THE CLERK: Thank you.

THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

MR. BRANDSTRADER: Judge, if I might, and I don't know if this is even an issue, my client is at Kankakee. He is going to -- runs the AA therapy. He has a therapist there that he's got a very good relationship. He's asking if the Court can, that he remain there until the sentencing date. He has been told that guys get moved up to the MCC. It's happened once or twice in my experience. But he is concerned about leaving his therapist and the group that he runs in the jail.

THE COURT: In the first instance, talk with the

1	marshals about that. You know, usually the requests are		
2	running the other way, of people wanting to get to the MCC		
3	from other places. So I doubt there should be any problem		
4	with leaving him is he at Kane County?		
5	MR. BRANDSTRADER: Kankakee.		
6	THE COURT: Kankakee. So I doubt that there's going		
7	to be a problem. But if at some point the marshals think they		
8	need to move him, you can come in on a motion, and we can talk		
9	about it.		
10	MR. BRANDSTRADER: Thank you, Judge.		
11	THE COURT: But, again, usually things are moving the		
12	other direction, or the problem is the other direction, so I		
13	don't anticipate a problem there.		
14	MR. BRANDSTRADER: Agreed. Thank you.		
15	THE COURT: Anything else?		
16	MR. BRANDSTRADER: Nothing, Judge.		
17	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.		
18	MS. GREENING: Thank you.		
19	(Which were all the proceedings heard.)		
20	CERTIFICATE		
21	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from		
22	the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.		
23	/s/Kelly M. Fitzgerald January 14, 2020		
24	Kelly M. Fitzgerald Date		
25	Official Court Reporter		